

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. DE 19-064

Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities Distribution Service Rate Case

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

MELISSA F. BARTOS

April 30, 2019

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	IN	TRO	DUCTION	.1		
II.	SC	SCOPE OF TESTIMONY1				
III.	III. MARGINAL COST STUDY					
	A.	Ecor	nomic Theory and Marginal Costs	. 2		
	B.	Marg	ginal Cost Study Methodology	. 4		
		1.	Overview	. 4		
	C.	Marg	ginal Cost Study Results	8		
		1.	Overview	8		
		2.	Marginal Distribution Capacity-related Plant Addition Costs	. 9		
		3.	Marginal Customer-related Plant Addition Costs	10		
		4.	Marginal Outdoor Lighting Costs	10		
		5.	Marginal Distribution Capacity-related Operations and Maintenance Expense	11		
		6.	Marginal Customer-related Operations and Maintenance Expense	12		
		7.	Marginal Customer Accounting Expenses	12		
		8.	Marginal Loading Factors and Adjustment Factors	13		
		9.	Fixed Carrying Charge Rate	14		
	D.	Sum	mary of Marginal Cost Study Results	15		

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment	Page	Title
MFB-1	1	Summary of Marginal Distribution Plant-related Costs: Primary System
MFB-1	2	Summary of Marginal Distribution Plant-related Costs: Secondary System
MFB-1	3	Summary of Marginal Distribution Plant-related Costs: Line Transformers
MFB-2	1	Services and Meters Investment
MFB-3	1–3	Summary of Marginal Costs for Outdoor Lighting: Luminaires
MFB-3	4-5	Summary of Marginal Costs for Outdoor Lighting: Poles and Accessories
MFB-4	1	Summary of Marginal Distribution Operations Expense - Primary System
MFB-4	2	Summary of Marginal Distribution Operations Expense - Secondary System
MFB-4	3	Summary of Marginal Distribution Operations Expense - Line Transformers
MFB-4	4	Summary of Marginal Distribution Maintenance Expense - Primary System
MFB-4	5	Summary of Marginal Distribution Maintenance Expense - Secondary System
MFB-4	6	Summary of Marginal Distribution Maintenance Expense - Line Transformers
MFB-5	1	Development of Customer-Related Plant Expense
MFB-5	2	Class Weighted Customer Plant Related Expense
MFB-5	3	Development of Customer Accounting Expense
MFB-5	4	Class Weighted Customer Accounting Expense
MFB-5	5	Class Weighted Bad Debt Accounts Expense
MFB-6	1	Development of A & G Loading Factors
MFB-6	2	Development of Materials and Supplies Loading Factor
MFB-6	3	Development of General Plant Loading Factor
MFB-7	1	Summary of Levelized Fixed Charge Rates
MFB-7	2	Levelized Fixed Charge Analysis Input Data
MFB-7	3	Levelized Fixed Charge Analysis Primary and Secondary Capacity Related
		Distribution
MFB-7	4	Levelized Fixed Charge Analysis Line Transformers Investment
MFB-7	5	Levelized Fixed Charge Analysis Services Investment
MFB-7	6	Levelized Fixed Charge Analysis Metering Equipment
MFB-7	7	Levelized Fixed Charge Analysis Street Lighting Investment
MFB-7	8-12	Levelized Fixed Charge Analysis Primary and Secondary Capacity Related
		Distribution, Line Transformers Investment, Services Investment, Metering
		Equipment, Street Lighting Investment
MFB-7	13	Development of Weighted Plant Book Lives and Salvage
MFB-8	1	Summary of Marginal Capacity Costs
MFB-8	2	Calculation of Loss-Adjusted Marginal Costs
MFB-8	3	Calculation of Marginal Capacity Costs by Rate Class
MFB-9	1	Summary of Marginal Customer Costs
MFB-10	1	Summary of Marginal Cost Estimates
MFB-11	1-5	Resume of Melissa F. Bartos

TABLES

Table	Title
Table 1	Total Marginal Costs by Rate Class
Table 2	Summary of Marginal Cost Study Schedules
Table 3	Marginal Cost of Distribution Capacity-related Plant Additions
Table 4	Marginal Cost of Customer-Related Plant Additions

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

1 I. INTRODUCTION

2	Q.	Please state your name, address, employer, position, and professional qualifications.
3	А.	My name is Melissa F. Bartos. I am an Assistant Vice President with Concentric Energy
4		Advisors, 293 Boston Post Road West, Suite 500, Marlborough, Massachusetts. My
5		professional qualifications and experience have been provided in Attachment MFB-11.
6	II.	SCOPE OF TESTIMONY
7	Q.	What is your responsibility in this proceeding?
8	А.	In this proceeding I am responsible for preparing the Marginal Cost Study for Liberty
9		Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities ("Granite State" or "the
10		Company").
11	Q.	Please summarize your testimony concerning the Marginal Cost Study.
12	А.	I have prepared a Marginal Cost Study ("MCS"), which is contained in Attachments
13		MFB-1 through MFB-10. The marginal costs that I have calculated are derived from data
14		and special studies obtained from the Company.
15		As also shown on Attachment MFB-10, the estimated annual marginal distribution costs
16		by rate class are summarized in Table 1 below.

17

Table 1: Total Marginal Costs by Rate Class (\$000)

	D	D-10	G-1	G-2	G-3	М	Т	V	Total
Customer	\$ 13,596	\$ 209	\$ 145	\$ 674	\$ 3,215	\$ -	\$ 397	\$8	\$ 18,246
Capacity	\$ 8,385	\$ 114	\$ 8,180	\$ 4,663	\$ 2,954	\$ -	\$ 281	\$ 10	\$ 24,588
Lighting	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 609	-	-	\$ 609
Total	\$ 21,981	\$ 323	\$ 8,326	\$ 5,338	\$ 6,169	\$ 609	\$ 679	\$18	\$ 43,443
	50.60%	0.74%	19.16%	12.29%	14.20%	1.40%	1.56%	0.04%	100.00%

18

Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities Docket No. DE 19-064 Marginal Cost Testimony of Melissa F. Bartos Page 2 of 16

III. 1

MARGINAL COST STUDY

2		A. Economic Theory and Marginal Costs
3	Q.	Please provide an economist's view of marginal cost.
4	A.	"Marginal Cost" is an economic concept; it is a measure of the additional cost that a firm
5		incurs to provide an additional unit of a good or a service. A well-established principle
6		of economic theory is that the price of a good that is sold in a perfectly competitive
7		market will be set at the marginal cost to produce that good. It is a further well-
8		established principle of economic theory that the best allocation of resources will occur,
9		and the best consumption decisions will be made, in an economy in which the prices of
10		goods are set at marginal costs.
11		It has been the Commission's rate-design policy and precedent since the mid-1980s to
12		apply the concepts of marginal cost pricing in a rate case (a) to determine the share of
13		total rate case revenue requirement for which each rate class is responsible, and (b) to set
14		base distribution rates to promote appropriate price signals and, therefore, proper energy
15		consumption decisions. The basis for the Company's current allocation of revenue
16		requirement to classes, rate design, and current rate classifications was approved by the
17		Commission in Order No. 26,005 (April 12, 2017) in the Company's 2016 rate case
18		filing, Docket No. DE 16-383.

1	Q.	Although the allocation methodology was approved in that proceeding, did the
2		Commission Staff ("Staff") express any concerns with the methodology?
3	A.	Yes. In that proceeding, Staff questioned the extent to which the Company's marginal
4		cost study relied on three year historical average costs rather than the results of regression
5		analyses.
6	Q.	Did the Company commit in the Settlement Agreement in DE 16-383 to meet with
7		Staff and the Office of the Consumer Advocate ("OCA") to discuss the marginal
8		cost study methodology before Liberty's next rate case?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	Are you aware if such a meeting took place and, if so, did you participate in the
11		meeting?
12	A.	Yes. A teleconference was held on January 30, 2019, in which I participated along with
13		representatives of the Company, Staff, and the OCA. During that meeting, Staff's
14		concerns related to the marginal cost study filed in DE 16-383 were reviewed.
15	Q.	Have you addressed those concerns in this current marginal cost study?
16	A.	Yes. While the marginal cost study filed in DE 16-383 used three year historical average
17		costs for 11 out of 14 cost categories because the results of the regression analyses were
18		not considered to be reasonable, in this marginal cost study regression analyses were used
19		for all 14 cost categories, as described in more detail below.

Liberty Utilities (Granite State Electric) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities Docket No. DE 19-064 Marginal Cost Testimony of Melissa F. Bartos Page 4 of 16

1

2

B. Marginal Cost Study Methodology

1. Overview

3 Q. Please describe the components of the Company's marginal costs that you

4 estimated.

I prepared calculations and analyses to estimate the marginal Distribution Function-5 A. related costs that the Company would incur to serve (a) additional demand when the 6 Company is experiencing peak conditions, and (b) additional customers. In general 7 terms, to estimate the costs that the Company would incur to serve additional peak 8 demand, I calculated (1) the additional capacity-related distribution plant costs, and (2) 9 the additional Operations and Maintenance ("O&M") costs that would be caused by an 10 increment to peak demand. I also calculated (3) the additional general plant-related costs 11 associated with the additions to capacity-related distribution plant, (4) the additional 12 Administrative and General ("A&G") expenses associated with the additional O&M 13 expenses, and (5) the additional materials and supplies ("M&S") and prepayment costs 14 associated with the additional plant. Lastly, I calculated additional factors to account for 15 the effects of bad debt and working capital on the calculated marginal costs. 16

Please describe the data used to develop your estimates of the Company's marginal costs.

A. The Company provided Concentric with (a) distribution plant and general plant balances
 and (b) distribution, customer, customer accounting, A&G, and Materials and Supplies
 and Prepayments Expenses, for the period 1997 to the present. In addition, the Company

II-396

1	provided Concentric with historical system peak, normalized peak, and customer count
2	data for the years 2000 to the present. ¹

Q. Please describe each new data series that you created using data that the Company
provided.

5 A. I created the following types of new data series:

6	1.	I adjusted the Company's data using an appropriate price index. I used a Handy-
7		Whitman index to restate plant additions in 2018 constant dollars, and I used the
8		Implicit Price Deflator for Gross Domestic Product, published by Bureau of
9		Economic Analysis, to restate expenses in constant 2018 dollars.
10	2.	The Company provided two separate analyses that were used to (1) identify the
11		amount of the capacity-related distribution plant additions related to growth, and
12		(2) classify the growth-related plant additions as being related to either the
13		primary distribution system, secondary distribution system, or line transformers.
14	3.	The Company provided an analysis of expense accounts that was used to
15		functionalize distribution Operations expenses and Maintenance expenses as
16		either capacity-related or customer-related, and also to classify the capacity-
17		related expenses as being related to either the primary distribution system,

18 secondary distribution system, or line transformers.

¹ The historical data for a few of the data series was obtained from SNL Financial, which compiles historical FERC Form 1 data.

1	Q.	Please describe the primary types of analysis that you used to calculate the
2		components of marginal cost.
3	A.	For many of the marginal cost components, I used a statistical process for estimating the
4		relationship between a specific "Cost Variable" (i.e., measure of costs) ² and a specific
5		"Cost Driver" variable. ³ The general form of the regression equations that I estimated is
6		as follows ⁴ :
7		Cost Variable = a + b x Cost Driver variable
8		Regression analyses are often used to estimate components of marginal costs because the
9		regression coefficient, the term "b" in the equation above, sometimes referred to as the
10		slope of the equation, is the estimated marginal cost of the Cost Variable that is
11		associated with a small change in the Cost Driver variable. ⁵
12	Q.	Please describe the general approach used in performing the marginal cost study
13		regression analyses.
14	A.	I reviewed the regression equations that I developed to ensure that the estimates were
15		reasonable and that they did not violate important statistical requirements.

² Some of the Cost Variables that I used include capacity-related distribution plant, customer-related O&M expense, and A&G Expense.

³ Some of the "Cost Driver" variables that I used include normalized peak demand and number of customers.

⁴ This is a simplified version of the regression equations that were estimated. Each of the regression equations that are provided in Attachments MFB-MCS-1, 4, 5, and 6 may include more than one cost driver and/or dummy variables.

⁵ The term "a" is the intercept of the equation. It is the level of the Cost Variable that is constant, regardless of the level of the Cost Driver variable.

1		Specifically, I tested each equation to ensure that there is no statistically significant level
2		of autocorrelation in the regression equation. Autocorrelation is a violation of the
3		requirements of regression analysis, ⁶ which, if not corrected, would inappropriately affect
4		the regression statistics. The statistical software that I used, SPSS, can identify and
5		correct for autocorrelation.
6		I also tested each equation to look for "structural shifts," which are changes in the
7		relationship between the Cost Variable and Cost Driver variable starting in a specific year
8		and continuing for a number of years. I specifically looked for structural shifts that might
9		have been related to the 2012 acquisition of Granite State by Liberty. If I determined that
10		there was a structural shift, I tested additional regression equations that allowed the slope
11		and intercept terms to be different for the time periods before and after the time of the
12		structural shift. If a regression equation with terms addressing the structural shift was
13		superior to other regression equations, I used the slope coefficient of the structural shift
14		regression equation as the marginal cost estimate.
15	Q.	What criteria did you use to accept or reject a regression equation?
16	A.	To assess whether a regression equation provided a reliable estimate of the marginal cost
17		component, I reviewed the regression equation statistics. Specifically, I reviewed:

18 19 • The reasonableness of the regression equation results. I considered that an equation was reasonable if the slope coefficient had the "right sign"⁷ and was the

⁶ Autocorrelation is a violation of the assumption that the regression equation error terms are uncorrelated. In the presence of autocorrelation, the regression does not produce Best Linear Unbiased Estimates.

⁷ The slope coefficient is the "right" sign if the coefficient is positive. A negative slope would mean, for example, that as peak demand increased, capacity related distribution plant additions would decrease.

1		"right size." ⁸
2		• The explanatory power of the regression equation as a whole, as measured by the
3		R-squared statistic.
4		• The explanatory power of the slope coefficient, as well as other variables included
5		in the model, as measured by the t statistic.
6		C. <u>Marginal Cost Study Results</u>
7		1. Overview
8	Q.	Please describe how you have organized the marginal cost study.
9	A.	The schedules that make up the Marginal Cost Study are provided in the List of
10		Attachments. Table 2 provides a summary of the Marginal Cost Study schedules.

11

Table 2: Summary of Marginal Cost Study Schedules

Attachment	Pages	Topics
MFB-1	1–3	Calculation of marginal Capacity-related Plant Additions
MFB-2	1	Calculation of marginal Customer-related Plant Additions
MFB-3	1–5	Calculation of marginal cost of Outdoor Lighting
MFB-4	1–6	Calculation of marginal Distribution Capacity-related Expenses
MFB-5	1–5	Calculation of marginal Customer-related Expenses
MFB-6	1–3	Development of loading factors
MFB-7	1–13	Calculation of Levelized Fixed Charge Rates
MFB-8	1–3	Summary of Marginal Capacity Costs
MFB-9	1	Summary of Marginal Customer Costs
MFB-10	1	Summary of Marginal Cost Estimates

12

⁸ The "right size" is a subjective test to ensure that the slope coefficient is not implausibly large or small.

1		2. Marginal Distribution Capacity-related Plant Addition Costs
2	Q.	Please explain how you prepared regression analyses to estimate the marginal cost
3		of capacity-related distribution plant additions attributed to growth.
4	A.	I prepared regression analyses to estimate the statistical relationship between normalized
5		peak demand and the following types of growth-related distribution plant addition costs:
6		(1) capacity-related primary distribution plant additions, (2) capacity-related secondary
7		distribution plant additions, and (3) capacity-related line transformer plant additions. The
8		regression results are located on Attachment MFB-1, pages 1 through 3.
9	Q.	In summary, what is the marginal cost of distribution capacity-related plant
10		additions attributed to growth?
11	A.	The total marginal cost of distribution capacity-related plant additions attributed to
12		growth is summarized in Table 3 below.
13		Table 3: Marginal Cost of Distribution Capacity-related Plant Additions

Marginal Plant additions Component	\$ per MW	Source
Primary	\$115,690	MFB-1 page 1
Secondary	\$82,116	MFB-1 page 2
Line Transformers	\$84,022	MFB-1 page 3
Total cost of Marginal Plant additions	\$281,828	

14

1

3. Marginal Customer-related Plant Addition Costs

2 Q. Please explain how you estimated marginal Customer-related plant addition costs.

- 3 A. Marginal Customer-related plant addition costs measure the marginal cost to connect a
- 4 customer, which includes the current installed cost of a meter and a service. Because the
- 5 cost of a meter and a service is generally correlated with the size of the customer, I asked
- 6 the Company to provide an analysis of the current installed cost of a meter and installed
- 7 cost of a service that is typical for each rate class. The customer-related plant additions
- 8 analysis is provided in Attachment MFB-2.

9 Q. In summary, what is the marginal cost of customer-related plant additions?

- 10 A. The total marginal cost of customer-related plant additions is summarized in Table 4
- 11 below.
- 12

 Table 4: Marginal Cost of Customer-Related Plant Additions

	D	D-10	G-1	G-2	G-3	Т	V
Service	\$693.29	\$693.29	\$ 759.17	\$759.17	\$ 693.29	\$693.29	\$ 693.29
Meter	\$105.00	\$360.20	\$1,605.00	\$900.80	\$ 630.20	\$195.20	\$ 290.20
Total	\$798.29	\$1,053.49	\$2,364.17	\$1,659.97	\$1,323.49	\$888.49	\$983.49

¹³ Source: MFB-2, Page 1, Lines 4, 8, 9

14

4. Marginal Outdoor Lighting Costs

15 Q. Please explain how you estimated the total Marginal Cost of Outdoor Lighting.

A. Marginal outdoor lighting costs measure the marginal cost to provide service to outdoor lighting customers, which includes the current installed costs of the luminaire and of the pole and accessories. Because the cost of a luminaire and of a pole is dependent on the size and type of luminaire and pole that is installed, I asked the Company to provide an

1		analysis of the current installed cost for each size and type of (a) luminaire, and (b) pole
2		and accessory listed in the Company's tariff. The Company's analysis is provided in
3		Attachment MFB-3.
4		I estimated the total marginal cost for outdoor lighting by applying the fixed carrying
5		charge rate (as discussed below) to the marginal cost for each size and type of (a)
6		luminaire, and (b) pole and accessory to develop a levelized annual cost, which was then
7		adjusted for inflation. The calculated levelized annual costs were multiplied by the total
8		number of luminaires and poles and accessories by size and type to arrive at a total
9		marginal cost for outdoor lighting, which is provided in Attachment MFB-3, pages 1
10		through 5.
11 12		5. Marginal Distribution Capacity-related Operations and Maintenance Expense
	Q.	
12	Q.	Expense
12 13	Q. A.	Expense Please explain how you estimated the Marginal Cost of Capacity-related
12 13 14		Expense Please explain how you estimated the Marginal Cost of Capacity-related Distribution Operations and Maintenance Expense.
12 13 14 15		Expense Please explain how you estimated the Marginal Cost of Capacity-related Distribution Operations and Maintenance Expense. I prepared six regression analyses to estimate the statistical relationship between
12 13 14 15 16		Expense Please explain how you estimated the Marginal Cost of Capacity-related Distribution Operations and Maintenance Expense. I prepared six regression analyses to estimate the statistical relationship between normalized peak demand and the following types of capacity-related distribution
12 13 14 15 16 17		Expense Please explain how you estimated the Marginal Cost of Capacity-related Distribution Operations and Maintenance Expense. I prepared six regression analyses to estimate the statistical relationship between normalized peak demand and the following types of capacity-related distribution operations and maintenance expense: (1) primary operations expense, (2) secondary
12 13 14 15 16 17 18		Expense Please explain how you estimated the Marginal Cost of Capacity-related Distribution Operations and Maintenance Expense. I prepared six regression analyses to estimate the statistical relationship between normalized peak demand and the following types of capacity-related distribution operations and maintenance expense: (1) primary operations expense, (2) secondary operations expense, (3) line transformers operations expense, (4) primary maintenance

1		6. Marginal Customer-related Operations and Maintenance Expense
2	Q.	Please explain how you estimated Marginal Customer-related Distribution
3		Operations and Maintenance Expenses.
4	A.	I prepared a regression analysis to estimate the statistical relationship between (a) the
5		customer-related distribution operations and maintenance expense associated with
6		operating and maintaining customer meters and services, and (b) the number of annual
7		customers based on historical data that the Company provided. The regression results are
8		summarized on Attachment MFB-5, page 1.
9		I prepared an additional analysis, which is provided in Attachment MFB-5, page 2, to
10		allocate the customer-related O&M expense to rate classes in a way that reflects that the
11		cost to maintain meters and services is related to the size of the meter and service, which
12		varies by rate class. As shown in Attachment MFB-5, page 2 column (C), the marginal
13		customer-related O&M expense was allocated to rate classes based on the marginal
14		service and meter plant per customer, from Attachment MFB-2, page 1. The results of
15		this allocation process are shown in Attachment MFB-5, page 2 column (G).
16		7. Marginal Customer Accounting Expenses
17	Q.	Please explain how you estimated Marginal Customer Accounting Expenses.
18	A.	I prepared a regression analysis to estimate the statistical relationship between (a)
19		customer accounting expenses, excluding bad debt expense, and (b) the number of annual
20		customers, based on historical data that the Company provided. The regression results
21		are summarized on Attachment MFB-5, page 3.

1		I prepared an additional analysis, which is provided in Attachment MFB-5, page 4, where
2		the Company provided the relative weighting factors for each rate class to allocate the
3		customer accounting expenses. The results of this allocation process are shown in
4		Attachment MFB-5, page 4 column (F).
5		Lastly, I prepared Attachment MFB-5, page 5, to calculate the pro forma bad debt
6		expense rate by rate class, based on data provided by the Company.
7		8. Marginal Loading Factors and Adjustment Factors
8	Q.	Please explain how you estimated Marginal Loading Factors.
9	А.	I calculated several loading factors to account for the following four cost components that
10		are relatively small or for which it is difficult to develop marginal cost-type statistical
11		relationships: (a) plant-related A&G expense, (b) non-plant-related A&G expense, (c)
12		M&S and prepayments, and (d) general plant. For each of these loading factors I
13		prepared regression analyses using the loading factor cost component as the dependent
14		variable, and an appropriate measure of cost, utility plant, or total O&M expense as the
15		independent variable. The loading factor analyses are provided in Attachment MFB-6,
16		pages 1 through 3.
17	Q.	Please explain why you used loss factors to adjust the marginal capacity-related
18		costs.
19	А.	The measures of capacity-related marginal cost that are used in the MCS are calculated
20		unit costs per kW of normalized peak demand, measured at customers' meters. The total
21		distribution system demand is greater than the demand measured at customers' meters

1		because some energy is lost in the process of transmitting and distributing electricity to
2		customers. Losses are greatest for those customers taking service at secondary voltage,
3		and somewhat less for customers that are taking service at primary or higher voltages.
4		The Company provided separate loss factors for primary and secondary service. I
5		developed an analysis to apply the loss factors to the marginal capacity-related costs,
6		which is provided in Attachment MFB-8, page 2.
7		9. Fixed Carrying Charge Rate
8	Q.	Please explain how you calculated the Fixed Carrying Charge Rates.
9	A.	The marginal cost that I calculated for primary and secondary capacity-related
10		distribution plant, line transformers, services, meters, and street lighting is the initial cost
11		of an asset that is placed into service. Fixed carrying charge rates ("FCCR") are used to
12		convert the marginal cost of plant additions from a cost that represents the estimated
13		marginal investment into the levelized annual cost of that investment. Attachment MFB-
14		7, page 1, is a summary of the FCCRs for (a) primary and secondary capacity-related
15		distribution plant, (b) line transformers, (c) services, (d) meters, and (e) street lighting.
16		This page shows Economist's and Engineer's FCCR results.
17		An Economist's FCCR is based on annual streams of costs that are fixed in real dollars,
18		and therefore vary in nominal dollars. An Engineer's FCCR is based on annual streams
19		of costs that are constant in nominal dollars, and therefore vary in real dollars. However,
20		the present values of the Economist's and Engineer's costs and revenues are identical.
21		For marginal cost analyses, the Economist's FCCR calculations are generally accepted as

1		being the appropriate version because the Economist's FCCR appropriately accounts for
2		the reduced value of the revenue requirements of that plant addition in future years, due
3		to price inflation, and therefore better reflects the economic and financial implications of
4		regulated ratemaking.
5		Attachment MFB-7, pages 1 through 13, provides the assumptions that were used in the
6		calculation of the FCCR and the detailed calculations of the five FCCRs. The
7		calculations of the FCCR follow standard rate making principles to determine revenue
8		requirements associated with plant additions, including return, taxes, depreciation,
9		salvage value, etc.
10		D. <u>Summary of Marginal Cost Study Results</u>
11	Q.	Please explain the schedules that you have prepared to summarize the Marginal
12		Cost results.
13	A.	Attachment MFB-8, page 1, shows the calculation of unit marginal distribution capacity
14		costs, including all loading factors and adjustments.
15		Attachment MFB-8, page 2, shows the calculation of the loss-adjusted marginal capacity
16		costs.
17		Attachment MFB-8, page 3, shows the calculation of the loss-adjusted marginal capacity
18		costs by rate class.
19		Attachment MFB-9, page 1, shows the calculation of unit marginal customer costs,

6	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
5		requested distribution revenue requirement to firm rate classes.
4		Company's proposed base distribution rates in this proceeding to allocate the Company's
3		calculation of total marginal costs by rate class, which is used in designing the
2		capacity costs, adjusted for bad debts. Attachment MFB-10, page 1, also shows the
1		Attachment MFB-10, page 1, shows the calculation of unit marginal customer and

7 A. Yes, it does.